

<b>Module title</b>		<b>Abbreviation</b>
Philosophical reasoning		o6-B-S2-102-m01
<b>Module coordinator</b>		<b>Module offered by</b>
holder of the Chair of Practical Philosophy		Institute of Philosophy
<b>ECTS</b>	<b>Method of grading</b>	<b>Only after succ. compl. of module(s)</b>
5	(not) successfully completed	--
<b>Duration</b>	<b>Module level</b>	<b>Other prerequisites</b>
1 semester	undergraduate	--
<b>Contents</b>		
Analysis, reconstruction and critical review of philosophical arguments.		
<b>Intended learning outcomes</b>		
Intended learning outcomes: Content-related outcomes: - ability to explain the structure of philosophical arguments and to evaluate their validity - insight into possibilities and limitations relating to the formalisation of arguments - awareness of the difference between convincing validity arguments and persuasive arguments Formal outcomes: - ability to critically evaluate arguments - ability to use philosophical arguments in a reflected manner and sensitivity towards the validity of one's own arguments		
<b>Courses</b> (type, number of weekly contact hours, language – if other than German)		
Ü (no information on SWS (weekly contact hours) and course language available)		
<b>Method of assessment</b> (type, scope, language – if other than German, examination offered – if not every semester, information on whether module is creditable for bonus)		
presentation of the candidate's own texts/assumptions (approx. 45 minutes), active moderation and discussion of assumptions formulated by other participants Assessment offered: once a year, winter semester		
<b>Allocation of places</b>		
--		
<b>Additional information</b>		
--		
<b>Referred to in LPO I</b> (examination regulations for teaching-degree programmes)		
--		
<b>Module appears in</b>		
Bachelor's degree (1 major, 1 minor) Philosophy (2010) Bachelor's degree (2 majors) Philosophy (2010)		